APPLICATION OF MAGNETITE NANOPARTICLES FOR ADSORPTION OF ACID RED 141 FROM AQUEOUS SOLUTIONS.

Abeer A. Emam, Gomaa S.H, ELsisi A.A, Emam A.G.

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University (Girls), Nasr City, Cairo, Egypt.

ABSTRACT

The potential of Fe$_3$O$_4$ magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) as efficient adsorbent for acid red 141, as anionic dye, from aqueous solutions was investigated. For this purpose, Fe$_3$O$_4$ MNPs were synthesized via chemical co-precipitation method. The synthesized MNPs were characterized by SEM, FT-IR, XRD, and EDX techniques. The various parameters affecting dye adsorption were investigated and optimized. The kinetic studies for acid red 141 adsorption showed rapid sorption dynamics by second-order kinetic model. Dye adsorption equilibrium data were fitted well to the Freundlich isotherm rather than Langmuir isotherm. The overall adsorption process was favorable, exothermic, and follows both intraparticle and Elovich diffusion models. Maximum capacity ($q_{\text{max}}$) was calculated, and was found to be 700 mg/g at 10°C and 985 mg/g at 50°C. The results showed that magnetic nanoparticles could be employed as a low-cost efficient adsorbent for removal of anionic dyes from aqueous solution.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Dyes have been extensively used for thousands of years for textile, paint, pigment, and many other applications [1]. Today dyes play a critical role in textile, paint, and pigment manufacturing industries, where at least 100,000 different dye types are currently commercially available [2]. To meet industrial demand, it is estimated that 1.6 million tons of dyes are produced annually, and 10-15% of this volume is discarded as wastewater [3]; as a result dyes are major water pollutants. Excessive exposure to dyes cause skin irritation, respiratory problems, and, for some dyes, increases cancer risk in humans [4]. In addition, the presence of dye in wastewater also contributes to high chemical oxidation demand, and causes foul odour [5]. Thus, it is of utmost importance to remove dyes from wastewater effectively to ensure safe discharge of treated liquid effluent into water resources.

Typically, dye wastewater is treated using coagulation–floculation [6], aerobic or anaerobic treatment [7], electrochemical treatment [8], membrane filtration [9], and adsorption methods [10]. Adsorption is the most popular of these methods due to the effectiveness and the simplicity of the process. Low-cost adsorbents derived from solid and agricultural wastes have received widespread attention from researchers in the last decade [11]. However, most of these low-cost adsorbents are micro particles [12], whose small contact surface area requires considerable time to achieve maximum adsorption of pollutants. As most industries require a fast adsorption rate to sustain increasing pollutant capacities, developing these adsorbents for industrial applications is not feasible. Therefore, the need to develop sustainable adsorbents that are economical, and offer both high adsorption rates and high adsorption capacities is urgent.

Nanomaterials, also referred to as nanoparticles, are particles that fall within the size range of 1–100 nm. Generally, well known nanomaterials are valued for their strength, highly active sites, and low mass [13]. Some nanomaterials have two main advantages: they can be easily synthesized at a low cost, and small amounts are required for effective adsorption of pollutants [14]. Thus, it is expected that nanomaterials will become more economical for adsorption applications.
Magnetic nanoparticles (MNPs) are a class of nanoparticles, which have been found to have low toxicity on humans, and can be manipulated using a magnetic field. MNPs have the advantages of large surface area, high number of surface active sites, and high magnetic properties, which cause high adsorption efficiency, high adsorption rate of contaminants, and easy and rapid separation of adsorbent from solution via magnetic field. After magnetic separation, the contaminants can be easily removed from nanoparticles by the desorbent agents, and the recovered MNPs can be reused [15,16].

The aim of this present work is to describe the chemical synthesis, characterization, and applicability of Fe₃O₄ MNPs as an efficient adsorbent for adsorption of acid red 141 (AR 141) from aqueous samples. The different parameters related to adsorption, isotherm, kinetics, and diffusion are evaluated and discussed.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Reagents and materials

Acid red 141 (C₂₀H₁₃N₂NaO₄S), shown in Figure 1 as an anionic dye, ferric chloride (FeCl₃·6H₂O), ferrous chloride (FeCl₂·4H₂O), sodium hydroxide, and hydrochloric acid were purchased with high purity from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). A stock standard solution of AR 141 at a concentration of 1000 ppm was prepared in double distilled water. This standard solution was diluted with doubly distilled water to prepare series of solutions with the concentration of 40, 80, 120, 160, and 200 ppm of AR 141.

![Figure 1. Molecular structure of 3D Conformer of Parent Acid Red 141 (AR141)](image)

2.2. Synthesis of Fe₃O₄ magnetic nanoparticles

Fe₃O₄ MNPs were prepared by chemical coprecipitation method [17] in a three-necked reactor. Firstly, 10.4 g of FeCl₃·6H₂O, 4.0 g of FeCl₂·4H₂O, and 1.7 mL of 12 mol L⁻¹ HCl were dissolved in 50 mL of deionized water in a beaker, which was degassed with nitrogen gas for 20 minutes before use, to prepare a stock solution of ferrous and ferric ions. On the other hand, 500 mL of 1.5 mol L⁻¹ NaOH solution was degassed for 15 minutes, and heated to 80°C in the reactor. Then, the stock solution of ferrous and ferric ions was added drop wise, using a dropping funnel, during 30 minutes under nitrogen gas protection and vigorous stirring by the use of the glassware stirrer. During the whole process, the solution temperature was maintained at 80°C, and nitrogen gas was used to prevent the intrusion of oxygen. The obtained Fe₂O₃ powder was subsequently removed from the solution via centrifugation, and resuspended in 500 mL of degassed deionized water. The obtained MNPs were stable in this condition up to one month.

2.3. Adsorption of Acid Red 141 using synthesized MNPs

In primary experiments the adsorption behavior of acid red 141 at various pHs was studied by measuring the absorbance of solutions at 509 nm. To achieve maximum adsorption efficiency, various parameters affecting the dye adsorption were studied and optimized. Optimization studies were carried out according to the following procedure: (1) 50 mL aqueous solution of the dye (80 ppm) was poured in a conical flask, (2) 200 mg of Fe₂O₃ MNPs was added to the dye solution, (3) pH of the solution was adjusted to the desired value, (4) the mixture was stirred for 120 minutes, (5) after dye adsorption, Fe₂O₃ MNPs were quickly separated from the sample solution (6) the residual dye concentration in the supernatant clear solution was determined spectrophotometrically by UV-vis spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer Lambda 35, USA).

2.3.1. Adsorption %

The following equation was applied to calculate the dye adsorption %

\[
\%\text{Adsorption} = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \times 100
\]

Where \(C_0\) and \(C_e\) are the initial and final concentrations of the dye in the solution respectively.
2.3.2. Adsorption capacity \( (q_e) \)

Adsorption capacity \( q_e (\text{mgg}^{-1}) \) after equilibrium was calculated by a mass balance relationship as follows:

\[
q_e = \frac{(C_o - C_e) V}{W}
\]

where \( C_o \) is the initial and \( C_e \) is the final equilibrium concentrations of the test solution, \( V \) is the volume of the solution, and \( W \) is the mass of adsorbent (mg).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Characterization and Analysis:

3.1.1. SEM and EDX

SEM image was acquired to determine the morphology and particle size of the adsorbent Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs (Fig. 2(a)) where the nanoparticles were obtained to be spherical and of average size of 20 nm with narrow size distribution.

The EDX analysis (Fig. 2b), shows that the nanoparticles consist of Fe and O elements. Results from Table 1 confirms the appearance of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles.

![Figure 2. (a) SEM image and (b) EDX mapping of the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs](image)

3.1.2. FT-IR Analysis

The FT-IR spectra were recorded to prove the successful preparation of AR141 loaded MNPs and possible interaction between various constituents of nanoparticles. FT-IR spectrum of the MNPs (a), AR141 coated MNPs (b), are shown in Fig. 3. The strong absorption at 584 cm\(^{-1}\) in curves a and b are attributed to the stretch of Fe-O, and the broad absorption peak appeared at about 3395 cm\(^{-1}\) can be related to the presence of hydroxyl groups. Comparing spectra a and b, some new absorption bands are appeared. For instance, the bands at about 1028 and 1155 cm\(^{-1}\) were due to the stretching vibration of the alcoholic hydroxyl (C–O), and the band at 1466 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to the bending vibration of C–H bond. These data proved that the surface of MNPs has been covered with AR141. It is believed that different interactions such as van der Waals force, hydrogen bond, and electrostatic interactions keep AR141 on the surface of MNPs. A similar pattern could also be observed in the spectrum of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\)-AR141, which indicates that the AR141 was successfully loaded onto the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs.

![Figure 3. FT-IR spectra of MNPs (a), AR141 coated MNPs (b)](image)

3.1.3. XRD Analysis

The crystal structure of nano-Fe3O4 was characterized using XRD for 2θ diffraction angles from 20° to 60°. As shown in Figure 4, the sharp peaks at 30.124°, 35.476°, 43.135°, 53.506°, and 56.991° in the XRD pattern are matches well with the reported standard pattern (JCPDS No.75-1372). The diffraction peaks are quite sharp and no peaks for impurities are detected. The results show the sample is nano-Fe3O4 which has high purity and well-crystallizes structure.
3.2. Parametric studies

The adsorption of Acid red 141 is studied at \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) 509 nm. The optimum condition for adsorption of AR 141 is 80 ppm, pH 3, and Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs dose of 200 mg. The results obtained during this study are as shown below.

3.2.1. Influence of pH

The adsorption of AR 141 was studied at different pH values, as it is an important parameter for the reaction taking place on the particular surface. The pH range was from 1.5-10. According to the data in Table 2, it was found that the adsorption % and adsorption capacity (\( q_e \)) of AR 141 are high in acidic solutions due to electrostatic attractions between the dye, in its anionic form, and the positively charged surface of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) MNPs. When the solution pH was increased from 1.5 to 10, adsorption % was decreased. This can be explained by considering both the pHpzc of the adsorbent as well as the molecular nature of AR 141 [18]. Beyond pHpzc, negative charges develop on the surface of adsorbent causing a decrease in the number of positively charged sites; moreover, repulsion of the dye anions was observed [18] leading to minimum value of 25% removal at pH 10.

3.2.2. Effect of adsorbent dosage

As adsorbent dosage increases, keeping all the other parameters at constant values, adsorption efficiency firstly increases, reaches maximum, and then decreases, due to the availability of the exchangeable active sites of the MNPs [19]. With the increase in adsorbent dose, aggregation of particle takes place. The optimum adsorbent mass was selected to be 200 mg, achieving 94.47% adsorption after 45 minute at 20\(^\circ\)C, as shown in Table 2.

3.2.3. Effect of initial concentration of AR141

The effect of initial concentration (ppm) on the adsorption % and adsorption capacity (\( q_e \)) of AR 141 by MNPs is shown in Table (2). Initial concentration was varied from 40, 80, 120, 160 to 280 ppm. Time, pH, adsorbent dosage, and temperature were kept constant. It can be seen from the results that the adsorption % decreased gradually upon increasing the AR 141 concentration. Sufficient adsorption sites are available at lower initial concentrations, but at higher concentrations dye ions are greater than adsorption sites [20]. Thus, it can be concluded that adsorption of dye is concentration dependent using MNPs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Adsorbent dose</th>
<th>Dye concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>q_e (mg g(^{-1}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>80.60</td>
<td>303.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>88.51</td>
<td>365.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>94.47</td>
<td>450.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>72.15</td>
<td>305.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>50.80</td>
<td>206.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>33.06</td>
<td>108.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>25.15</td>
<td>53.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4:** XRD pattern of the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nano-particles
3.2.4. Effect of contact time

As the time of adsorption is changed from 5 to 120 minutes, efficiency firstly increased, and afterwards no change is observed. As time progresses the surface coverage of the adsorbent is high, and further no adsorption takes place. Figure 5 shows the effect of time on adsorption capacity. According to these results, the agitation time was fixed at 1 hour for the rest of the batch experiments to make sure that equilibrium was attained, which is considered adequate and economical for wastewater treatment [21].

![Figure 5: Effect of contact time on the adsorption capacity (qₑ) of AR 141 on MNPs at different temperatures. (Cₑ = 80 ppm, pH = 3.0, adsorbent dose = 200.0mg).](image)

3.3. Adsorption isotherms

Adsorption isotherm models are widely used to describe and investigate mechanisms of adsorption. The equilibrium data was analyzed by the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models.

3.3.1. Langmuir model

The linear form of Langmuir equation can be written as follows:

\[
\frac{C_e}{Q_e} = \frac{C_e}{Q_m} + \frac{1}{K_LQ_m}
\]

where \(C_e\) (mgL⁻¹) is the concentration of AR 141 at equilibrium, \(Q_e\) (mgg⁻¹) is the amount of AR 141 adsorbed by the MNPs at equilibrium, \(Q_m\) (mgg⁻¹) is the maximum adsorption capacity corresponding to monolayer coverage, and \(R_L\) (L/mg) is the Langmuir constant. The values of \(Q_m\) and \(R_L\) can be calculated from plotting \(C_e/Q_e\) versus \(C_e\). In order to determine if the adsorption process is favorable or unfavorable, a dimensionless constant, separation factor or equilibrium parameter \(R_L\), is defined according to the following equation.

\[
R_L = \frac{1}{1 + K_LC_o}
\]

where \(K_L\) (L/mg) is the Langmuir constant and \(C_o\) (mg/L) is the initial AR 141 concentration. The \(R_L\) value indicates adsorption process is irreversible when \(R_L\) is 0; favorable when \(R_L\) is between 0 and 1; linear when \(R_L\) is 1; and unfavorable when \(R_L\) is greater than 1 [22].

The Langmuir plots for AR 141 adsorption on MNPs are obtained in Figure 6, and the parameters are shown in Table 3. The values of the correlation coefficient for the Langmuir plots changed in the range 0.5504-0.8349. This suggests that the adsorption of AR 141 on MNPs did not follow the Langmuir model at the five temperatures. The calculated values of \(R_L\) are all in the range of 0.013-0.063; thereby, confirming that the five adsorption processes at (10, 20, 30, 40, and 50°C) are all favorable [23].

![Figure 6: Fitting of isotherm data to the Langmuir model of AR141 on MNPs at different temperatures. (pH = 3.0, adsorbent dose = 200.0mg).](image)

3.3.2. Freundlich model

The linear form of Freundlich equation is given as:

\[
\log Q_e = \log K_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e
\]

where \(Q_e\) is the AR141 concentration on MNPs at equilibrium, \(C_e\) (mgL⁻¹) is the concentration of AR141 in solution at equilibrium, and \(K_F\) (dm³g⁻¹) and \(1/n\) are Freundlich constants related to adsorption capacity and adsorption
intensity, respectively [23]. Freundlich constants are calculated from the slope and the intercept in Figure 7, and are given in Table 3. The correlation coefficients ($r^2 > 0.925$) reflect that the experimental data agree well with the Freundlich model. The values of $1/n$ (0.498, 0.632, 0.713, 0.778, and 0.868 at 283, 293, 303, 313, and 323 K respectively) are all smaller than 1, so they represent the favorable adsorption conditions [24].

![Figure 7: Fitting of isotherm data to the Freundlich model of AR 141 on MNPs at different temperatures. ($pH = 3.0$, adsorbent dose = 200.0 mg)](image)

### 3.4. Adsorption kinetics

In order to examine the mechanism and rate-controlling step in the overall adsorption process, four kinetic models, pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, intraparticle diffusion, and Elovich diffusion, are adopted to investigate the adsorption process.

#### 3.4.1. Pseudo-first order

The pseudo-first-order equation can be expressed as in the following equation:

$$\log (q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{k_1}{2} t, \quad 303 \text{ } t.$$  

where $q_e$ and $q_t$ (mg/g) are the AR 141 adsorption capacity at equilibrium and at time $t$ (minutes) respectively, and $k_1$ (min$^{-1}$) is the rate constant of the pseudo-first-order. The parameters $k_1$ and $q_e$ could be calculated from the slope and intercept of the plots of $\log (q_e - q_t)$ versus $t$, and are found to be unbeseeming for the present system. This suggests that the pseudo-first-order kinetic model is not suitable to describe the adsorption process.

#### 3.4.2. Pseudo-second order kinetic model

The pseudo-second order kinetic model can be expressed in linear form as follows:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}$$

Where $k_2$ (g/mg min) is the pseudo-second-order rate constant. The $q_e$ and $k_2$ values can be obtained from the slope and intercept of plots of $t/q_t$ versus $t$, which are illustrated in Figure 8. The good linear plots of $t/q_t$ versus $t$ at different temperatures, along with the correlation coefficients $r^2$ higher than 0.900 suggest that adsorption of AR141 on MNPs predominantly follows the pseudo-second order kinetic model [20]. The parameters, $k_2$ and $q_{e, max}$, are listed in Table 4. The values of the adsorption capacity at equilibrium ($q_{e, max}$) for AR141 are decreased

### Table 3: Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm constants, correlation coefficients, and separation factors for the adsorption of AR 141 on MNPs at various temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (°K)</th>
<th>Langmuir constants</th>
<th>Freundlich constants</th>
<th>Separation factor (b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$q_{max}$ (mg/g)</td>
<td>$K_L \times 10^3$ (dm$^3$g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$t_r^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>700.88</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>806.45</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>814.99</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>898.71</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>985.74</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4: Pseudo-second-order kinetic parameters for the adsorption of AR141 onto MNPs at various temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (°K)</th>
<th>$K_2 \times 10^4$ (g mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$q_{\text{max}}$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$r^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>283</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>595.24</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>537.63</td>
<td>0.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>452.48</td>
<td>0.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>337.84</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>204.92</td>
<td>0.902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

from 595.24 to 204.92 mg g$^{-1}$ when the temperature is increased from 283 to 323° K. This effect can be explained by assuming that at higher temperatures the total energy of the adsorbate species is increased, and their escaping tendency from the adsorbent surface is also increased; therefore the adsorption of the adsorbate species is decreased. This suggests that the lowest temperature favours AR141 adsorption, and the adsorption equilibrium capacity is dependent on temperature [25].

3.4.3. Arrhenius activation energy of adsorption process

The pseudo-second-order rate constant of dye adsorption is expressed as a function of temperature by the Arrhenius relationship:

$$\ln k_2 = \ln \frac{A}{RT} - \frac{E_a}{RT}$$

where $E_a$ is the Arrhenius activation energy representing the energy barrier that a dye species should overcome to be adsorbed into the MNPs [26]. The magnitude of activation energy gives an idea about the type of adsorption, which is mainly physical or chemical. The physisorption processes usually have energies in the range of 5-40 kJ mol$^{-1}$, while higher activation energies (40-800 kJ mol$^{-1}$) suggest chemisorptions [27]. The value is found to be in the typical activation energy range for physisorption, thus it can be concluded that AR141 is mainly physically adsorbed on MNPs surface.

![Figure 8: Fitting of the kinetic data to the pseudo-second order kinetic model of AR141 on MNPs at different temperatures. (C$_{\text{dye}}$ = 80 ppm, pH = 3.0, adsorbent dose = 200.0 mg)](image)

The pseudo-second order rate constants for adsorption of AR141 on MNPs system show a steady increase with temperature, as shown in Table 3. The values of the rate constants were found to increase from $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ to $1.92 \times 10^{-4}$ g mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$, with an increase in the solution temperature from 283 to 323° K. In conventional physisorption systems, increasing the temperature usually increases the rate of approach to equilibrium, but decreases the equilibrium capacity.

3.5. Diffusion mechanism

The two models above cannot identify the diffusion mechanism during the adsorption process, so the experimental data are tested by the intraparticle diffusion model, and Elovich diffusion model.

![Figure 9: Arrhenius plot for the adsorption of AR141 on MNPs](image)
3.5.1. Intraparticle diffusion model

Intra-particle diffusion can be expressed by following equation:

\[ q_t = k_d t^{1/2} + C \]

where \( q_t \) (mg/g) is the amount of AR 141 adsorbed at time \( t \) (minutes), \( k_d \) (mg/g min\(^{1/2}\)) is the intraparticle diffusion rate constant, and \( C \) is the intercept. The plot of \( q_t \) versus \( t^{1/2} \), in Figure 10, shows a double straight line nature [28]. The first linear part could be due to the entry of AR141 species into the MNPs particle by intraparticle diffusion. The second horizontal linear part represents the final equilibrium stage. As given in Table 5, it is obvious that the values of \( k_d \) decrease from 46.67 to 17.42 mg/g min\(^{1/2}\) when the temperature increases from 283 to 323 K.

![Figure 10: Intraparticle diffusion model of AR141 on MNPs at different temperatures. \((C_{dye} = 80 \text{ ppm, } pH = 3.0, \text{ adsorbent dose } = 200.0 \text{ mg})\)](image)

3.5.2. Elovich-diffusion model

The Elovich equation is often valid for systems in which the adsorbing surface is heterogeneous [29]. The equation is given as follow

\[ q_t = \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \ln \alpha + \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right) \ln t \]

where \( \alpha \) is the initial adsorption rate constant (mg/g min), and \( \beta \) is the desorption constant (g/mg) during any one experiment. The constants can be obtained from the slope and the intercept of the plot of \( q_t \) versus \( \ln t \) at different temperatures, as shown in figure 11. The value of \( \beta \) increases from 7.66 to 19.83 x10\(^3\) (g/mg), as shown in table 5.

![Figure 11: Elovich adsorption model of AR 141 on MNPs at different temperatures. \((C_{dye} = 80 \text{ ppm, } pH = 3.0, \text{ adsorbent dose } = 200.0 \text{ mg})\)](image)

CONCLUSION

1. MNPs are successfully synthesised through coprecipitation method, and can be used as an efficient and cheap adsorbent for the removal of acid red 141 dye from aqueous solution.
2. Adsorptive removal of acid red 141 dye by using adsorbent dose 200 mg is successfully applied and optimized; while with an increasing dye concentration, the adsorption rate decreases. Acidic pH condition significantly affects the dye adsorption efficiency of acid red 141 dye, and was found to be 94.47 % at pH 3.
3. Lowering the temperature favors adsorption, due to the higher escaping tendency of adsorbate species as the temperature rises.
4. The amount of adsorbed dye is increased with an increase of contact time, which was optimized at 60 minutes, and the rate of adsorption is found to confirm the pseudo-second-order kinetic model with good values of correlation coefficient.
5. Adsorption isotherms are described by Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models. Freundlich isotherm model is found to fit with experimental data due to higher \( r^2 \) values.
6. Intraparticle diffusion model and Elvoich model have good correlation with \( r^2 \) values.
which indicates their applicability to the system.
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